IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

SECOND APPEAL NO. 119 OF 2005

SHRI VITHOBA NAGU ADEL, MARGAO GOA ....Appellant

Versus

SHRI JACINTO D'SOUZA(SIN.DEC.) ....Respondents
THROUGH HIS L.R.'S AND ORS.,

Shri Mahesh Amonkar, Advocate for the Appellant - absent.
Shri Hyder Khilji, Advocate for Respondent No. 4 - absent.

Coram:- P.V. KAKADE, J.

Date:- 18th September, 2006

P.C.:

None appears for either of the parties. By order dated 14/08/2006, it was directed that, in view of the facts and circumstances, the matter could be worked out finally at the stage of admission and, therefore, fresh notices were to be issued. However, process fee and copies were not supplied and, therefore, notices could not be issued. There is no explanation as to why this lapse has occured. In view of this aspect, appeal stands dismissed for default.

P.V. KAKADE, J.

NH